

TOP SECRET

OUT53421

P 112042Z

1964 MAY 11 21 33Z

PRIORITY

FM NPIC

12 M25X114

ZEM

HFS 4

TOP SECRET

CJS -25X14

CFC C25X1

PASS TO DMI

PNC 25X1

ACI all

JOINTLY. CITE NPIC 2272.

1. THE FOLLOWING TASKINGS ARE RELATED TO THE GMAIC WORKING GROUP [] ATTENDED IN WASHINGTON, 11 MAY. DMI IS TASKED WITH REVIEWING [] DOCUMENTS FOR POSSIBLE RELATIONSHIP TO THE SUSPECT SITES. CONTACT [] FOR POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL MANPOWER TO COMPLETE REVIEW AND DISPATCH WIRE NOT LATER THAN THURSDAY AFTERNOON FOR MEETING AT 0930 HOURS FRIDAY, 15 MAY. ALSO ASK [] TO REVIEW TASKING FOR ADDITIONAL INPUTS. WE ARE AT LIBERTY TO COMMENT ON ANY OF THE QUESTIONS BUT PRIMARY TASKING FOR THE [] WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE UPON RETURN TUESDAY.

25X1

Grace (F11a) 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

2. TASKINGS ON UNIDENTIFIED MOSCOW SITES:

A. PI TASKS

1. BASED ON LIKELY SPACING, SEARCH FOR OTHER SITES WHICH WOULD FILL GAPS IN PRESENT CURVES THROUGH KNOWN SITES OR CLOSE OPEN SIDES OF CURVES.
2. SEARCH OF GENERAL AREA FOR ANY INDICATIONS OF LAUNCHING AREAS.

25X1

TOP SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

-2-

3. DEVELOP CHRONOLOGY OF SITE CONSTRUCTION.
4. DESCRIBE CHARACTERISTICS OF OVER-ALL SYSTEM AND INDIVIDUAL SITES.
5. DETAILED DESCRIPTION AND MENSURATION OF WINGED OBJECTS AND COMPARISON WITH KNOWN SOVIET MISSILES AND AIRCRAFT.
6. SEARCH OF VLADIMIROVKA FOR POSSIBLE CONNECTIONS.
7. SEARCH OF KAPUSTIN YAR FOR POSSIBLE CONNECTIONS.
8. COMPARISON WITH OTHER PATTERNS OF STORAGE AND DEPLOYMENT.

B. SIGINT TASKS

1. SUBORDINATION OF SITES
2. AFFILIATIONS WITH SITES
3. PERSONALITIES ASSOCIATED WITH SITES
4. AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS TO SITE AREAS.
5. NUCLEAR ASSOCIATIONS AND POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANCE.
6. ANY UNIDENTIFIED SIGNALS IN MOSCOW AREA WITH POSSIBLE CONNECTIONS.

C. COLLATERAL SEARCH

1. SPECIFIC LOCATIONS, NEARBY TOWNS AND AIRFIELDS.
2. UNUSUAL CONSTRUCTION IN GENERAL AREA OF THE TWO HORSE-SHOE CURVES.
3. POSSIBLE CONNECTION WITH BEANIE SIGHTINGS
 - A. CORRELATION OF SIGHTING LOCATIONS WITH ROADS AND RAIL CONNECTIONS TO SITES.
 - B. COMPARISON OF DIMENSIONS OF WINGED OBJECTS WITH THOSE

-3-

OF BEANIE.

4. SEARCH OF REPORTS FOR SIGNIFICANT REFERENCES.

25X1

C. GENERAL ANALYSIS:

1. ESTIMATION OF PERFORMANCE AND POSSIBLE MISSIONS OF WINGED OBJECT.
2. COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED PERFORMANCE AND MISSION WITH KNOWN AERODYNAMIC MISSILE TESTS, SUCH AS HOTCROSS, LUGGAGE, ETC.
3. CREDIBLE THREAT VS. CONSTRUCTION TIMETABLE
 - A. IF UNKNOWN SYSTEM DEFENSIVE
 - B. IF UNKNOWN SYSTEM OFFENSIVE

1

T O P S E C R E T

25X1

/END OF MESSAGE/

1